Office Use Only

ON AN APPLICATION FOR RESOURCE CONSENT UNDER SECTION 96 OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991





PART A: DESCRIPTION OF	APPLICATIO	N		
CONSENT NUMBER: RC-2023 - 0133 - 05 RC-2023 - 0133 - 06 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED	APPLICANT:	tand Minera	I Sands (-0
		erate open	cost mi	neral Sound mine
LOCATION:				
713 Rugtapy	Rd.	Monanu	1	A Comment
PART B: SUBMITTER DETA	ILS			
Full name/s		0	David	achlan Waugh
	Megar	nt. Waugh	Glenism	ochlan Waugh Waugh.
Postal address	P	Control of the contro		
I am the owner/occupier (delete one) of the following property:	11		* 1	
Primary contact person/s	David	Wangh		
Email address	gande	a blanda	(Trail (S . T) ;	
Phone number/s	Home: Mobile:	27 F C 4 V	Business:	
Signature:	My	gloris M. K	Jh Saugh	Date:
Name (BLOCK CAPITALS):	WAU	att GM-1	AUGH	
If this is a joint submission by 2 A signature is not required if you	or more individ u make your su	duals, each individual's bmission by electronic	signature is require means.	d.

I/we wish to submit on the applications lodged with the West Coast Regional Council

I/we wish to submit on applications lodged with the Westland District Council

I/we wish to submit on applications lodged with **BOTH** Councils

I/we support the application	
I/we oppose the application	
I/we neither support nor oppose the application	
I/we wish to be heard in support of my/our submission. I/we DO NOT wish to be heard and hereby make my/our submission in writing only.	
If you wish to be heard, and others make a similar submission would you consider making a joint case with them at hearing Yes No If you indicated you wish to be heard, you will be sent a copy of the S.42A Officer's Report and a copy of the Decision it is released. These documents will be sent electronically. Only under special circumstances will a hard copy be sent	once
Hard (paper) copy	
I/we have served a copy of my/our submission on the Applicant as per Section 96(6)(b) of the RMA Yes	
My/our submission is that: (state in summary the nature of your submission. Clearly indicate whether y support or oppose the specific proposal, or wish to have amendments made, giving reasons) We are concerned that the proposed sand mining operation will inadvertently contaminate the wetlands drained by Mahinapua Creek. In the present situation Lake Mahinapua acts as a natural sediment settling pond with the water flowing from it and spreading into the wetlands between the lake and Hokitika Lagoon providing a uniquely pure habitat for many native species, in particular the various varieties of adult whitebait.	
We have been around long enough to have encountered many examples of mining and other industrial operations near natural waterways where, with the best will in the world the protection measures taken have failed either through human error or by natural forces. When this occurs the damage to the environment is often irreversible or takes decades to recover. Often the first sign of something is amiss are the quantities of dead fish or polluted birds. By then sorry is too late and not enough. Can the proponents of the mining operation 100% guarantee that no chemical or sediment spillage will ever occur as a result of their activities?	
I/we seek the following decision from the Local Authority/Authorities:(give precise details)	
The Westland Coast Regional Council needs to place the most stringent environmental protection requirements on the mineral sands mining operation with regard to the purity of water discharged into Mahinapua Creek and continues to monitor the operation to ensure compliance throughout and after completion of mining.	
I/we have attached additional information/reports to support my/our submission Yes	s